

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/02/2003	David Mark Bergeron	A01038A	2974
7590 07/27/2005		EXAMINER	
HAAS COMPANY		LANGEL, WAYNE A	
PATENT DEPARTMENT 100 INDEPENDENCE MALL WEST PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106-2399		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1754	
	09/02/2003 7590 07/27/2005 D HAAS COMPANY PARTMENT NDENCE MALL WEST	09/02/2003 David Mark Bergeron  7590 07/27/2005 D HAAS COMPANY PARTMENT NDENCE MALL WEST	09/02/2003 David Mark Bergeron A01038A  7590 07/27/2005 EXAM D HAAS COMPANY PARTMENT NDENCE MALL WEST ART UNIT

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Commons	10/653,323	BERGERON ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Wayne Langel	1754		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 Jules</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This      Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.			
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-17,22-33,35-47 and 55-66</u> is/are pe 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-17,36-47 and 55-66</u> 5)□ Claim(s) <u>is/are allowed.</u> 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>5-13,22-33 and 35</u> is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) <u>is/are objected to.</u> 8)□ Claim(s) <u>are subject to restriction and/or</u>	66 is/are withdrawn from consider	ation.		
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/653,323

Art Unit: 1754

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 5-13 and 22-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Humble et al. No distinction is seen between the apparatus disclosed by Humble et al, and that recited in applicants' claims. Humble et al disclose an apparatus wherein ceramic fiber blanket 467 extends along the inner walls of internal cone 417. (See Fig. 4 and col.4, line 48 to col. 5, line 20. Internal cone 417 is considered to constitute the "transition section" of the apparatus of Humble et al. In any event, it would be prima facie obvious to employ internal cone 417 as the transition section of the apparatus when carrying out the process of Humble et al.

Application/Control Number: 10/653,323

Art Unit: 1754

Claims 5, 6, 12, 13, 22-33 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over DeCourcy et al. No distinction is seen between the process and apparatus disclosed by DeCourcy et al, and that recited in applicants' claims. DeCourcy et al disclose a process and apparatusn for producing hydrogen cyanide, wherein the radiation shield comprises ceramic fibers. (See the Abstract, Fig. 1 and col. 4, line 65 to col. 6, line 54.) Radiation shield 3 of DeCourcy et al is considered to be present in a transition section" of the apparatus. In any event it would be obvious to employ radiation shield 3 in a transition section of the apparatus when carrying out the process of DeCourcy et al. Regarding claims 7-11, 23 and 24, it is noted that part of the apparatus of DeCourcy et al is in the form of a cone.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is indefinite as to what would constitute a "CRV", an "LAD" and an "EHD".

Peterson '334, Lietz et al, Bartels, Koch et al, Ruhl et al, EP 0358475 and Miller et al are made of record for disclosing various apparatus lines with ceramic fibers.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Langel whose telephone number is 571-272-1353. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Fridays from 8 to 3:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/653,323

Art Unit: 1754

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman, can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Wayne Langel Primary Examiner Art Unit 1754